UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,865	03/16/2007	Peter Kwasny	7472-104	7050
62836 BERLINER & .	7590 09/23/201 ASSOCIATES	EXAMINER		
555 WEST FIFTH STREET 31ST FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90013			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,865	KWASNY, PETER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher S. Kim	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE METERS THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-7,9-20 and 22-29 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-7,9-20 and 23-26 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22 and 27-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	s/are withdrawn from consideratio	on.	
<u> </u>			
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 March 2007 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11. 	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/580,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submissions filed on July 15 and 16, 2010 have been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Applicant elected without traverse Species D (figure 4) in the reply filed on June 26, 2009.
- 4. Claims 2-7, 9-20, 23-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 26, 2009.

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "body containing a

Application/Control Number: 10/580,865 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

propellant and an aerosol product" recited in claim 29; the "valve" recited in claims 29; the "aerosol can" recited in claim 22 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 27 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper

dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 27 merely renames the claimed invention of claim 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 22, 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "a non-symmetrical cone-shaped portion" about the horizontal plane, does not reasonably provide enablement for "a non-symmetrical cone-shaped portion" about the vertical plane (plane of the sheet). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Figure 4, the elected Species, appears to show a non-symmetrical cone-shaped portion 17 that is non-symmetrical about the horizontal plane but portion 17 appears to be symmetrical about the vertical plane (the plane of the sheet/page).

8. Claims 22, 27-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant argues that the prior art fails to show a non-symmetrical cone shaped portion. The specification discloses a non-symmetrical cone shaped portion 17. Figure 4 shows nothing more than an arcuate surface at the end of cylinder 16. As shown in figure 4, portion 17 is shaped nothing like a cone. It is uncertain what minimum feature in the prior art would meet the limitation "cone-shaped."

Application/Control Number: 10/580,865 Page 5

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. Claims 22, 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beres et al. (3,393,844).

Beres discloses a spray head comprising:

an axial borehole 19;

an adjustment device 36 comprising:

a rotatable cylindrical element 42;

a non-symmetrical cone shaped portion (conical area having

depression 44);

a lateral bore 34, 26;

an outlet 28.

The non-symmetrical cone shaped portion is non symmetrical when the depression 44 is on one side of a plane through valve 36.

Regarding claim 28, any fluid dispensed by the Beres can be considered a painting preparation agent because the recitation "painting preparation " merely indicates intended use of the "agent."

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim22, 27-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571) 272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher S. Kim/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752